## **KRUPANIDHI COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT**

## Teacher's Feedback Form Feedback for Review of Syllabus

- 1. Name of the teacher : \_\_\_\_\_
- 2. Academic Year : \_\_\_\_\_
- 3. Department :\_\_\_\_\_
- 4. Class/Division : \_\_\_\_\_
- 5. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what

you expect your students to learn?

a) Poor

- b) Fair
- c) Average
- d) Good
- e) Excellent
- 6. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global

scenarios and academics?

- a) Poor
- b) Fair
- c) Average
- d) Good
- e) Excellent
- 7. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours?
  - a) Poor
  - b) Fair
  - c) Average
  - d) Good
  - e) Excellent
- 8. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the

syllabus?

- a) Poor
- b) Fair
- c) Average
- d) Good
- e) Excellent

- 9. The evaluation methods mentioned in the syllabus are sufficient for providing proper assessment?
  - a) Poor
  - b) Fair
  - c) Average
  - d) Good
  - e) Excellent
- 10. The syllabus is
  - a) Challenging
  - b) Adequate
  - c) Inadequate
  - d) Dull
  - e) Irrelevant

## Rate your syllabus on following points

Learning value (in	terms o	f skills,	concep	ts, knov	vledge,	analytical abilities or broadenin
perspectives)						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Extremely Poor	_	_	_	_	_	Extremely Good
12.1Applicability/relev	ance to	real life	situatio	ons		
	1	2	3	4	5	
Extremely Poor	_	_	_	_	_	Extremely Good
13. Depth of the course	e conten	ıt				
	1	2	3	4	5	
Extremely Poor	_	_	_	_	_	Extremely Good
14. Paxtent of the cover	age of c	course				
	1	2	3	4	5	
Extremely Poor	-	-	-	-	-	Extremely Good
15. Relevance/learning	g value o	of projec	t/repor	t		
	1	2	3	4	5	
Extremely Poor	_	_	_	_	_	Extremely Good
16. Overall Rating						
-	1	2	3	4	5	
Extremely Poor	_	_	_	_	_	Extremely Good
17 Give suggestions f		wamant				

17. Give suggestions for improvement